Research Article

CFD Analysis of NACA 0012 Aerofoil to Investigate the Effect of Increasing Angle of Attack on Coefficient of Lift and Coefficient of Drag

Mirza Haseeb Khalid 1,*

1 Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Engineering and Technology Lahore, Lahore, 54000, Pakistan

*Corresponding Author: Mirza Haseeb Khalid, E-mail: engr.mhaseebk@gmail.com

Abstract

The significance of using proper blade design is increasing with advancements in aviation technology. Wings are used in a variety of applications, including aircraft, drones, wind turbines, and more. In this study, Ansys version 2021 is used to do a CFD analysis on a NACA 0012 to study the effect of increasing the angle of attack on the coefficient of lift. Analyses have been performed using Ansys Fluent. The k-w turbulence model was used to analyze geometry, designed in SOLIDWORKS. At a speed of 32 m/s, several angles of attack have been evaluated from 0° to 20° to investigate lift and drag coefficient. The results of this study were compared with the literature for validation. It has been observed that increasing the angle of attack will increase the lift coefficient initially but after a specific angle, flow separation takes place and the lift coefficient starts decreasing with a further increase in the angle of attack.
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1. Introduction

In the recent decade, the application of Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) in aviation has aided in the understanding of fluid dynamics and aero processes[1]. Additionally, numerical simulations have proven themselves as a key and ever-evolving component of the aircraft design process. CFD reduces the dependency on experimental investigations through wind tunnels, lowering design costs in the process. As a result of these advancements, wing performance is improved through the use of CFD technologies[1, 2].

Wings are designed and developed to achieve the maximum lift for an airplane. Nearly all commercial jets and propeller-driven planes have an aerodynamic component known as an airfoil. Using an airfoil profile, the wings of an airplane can generate more lift, reducing the amount of energy required for flight[3]. Lift is one of the active forces that permit airplanes to move from one location to another, alongside propulsion. Propulsion is provided by an airplane's engine or engines, while the lift is provided by the wings and body of the aircraft[4]. Airplanes with wings in the shape of an airfoil provide greater lift than those
with wings in a different shape[5]. As a result, they can stay in the air for longer periods. As a result, fluid flows at varying speeds across the surface of the geometry of aerofoil [6].

Figure 1 is a schematic diagram indicating the aerofoil geometry which is a major component in providing necessary lift to airplanes. When an airfoil is moved at a particular speed, it generates aerodynamic forces (lift and drag)[7]. Drag force acts in the opposite direction of motion while the force that is in the vertical direction provides lift. Lift Force is created by the difference in pressures on top and bottom surfaces. Air travels at the same speed across both the top and bottom of an airplane's wings if they have the same shape. When an airplane's wings have an airfoil shape, air travels slower over the top than at the bottom. As a result, wings can generate extra lift because of the increased airflow. The curved airfoil design directs air downwards, resulting in a faster flow of air and thus more speed. Lift is increased in an airplane by the velocity differences between the top and bottom parts of the wing[8].

![Figure 1. Aerofoil Schematic](image)

The lift coefficient ($C_L$) is used to relate the lift of an aerofoil to the density of fluid that surrounds the geometry of the aerofoil. It is a dimensionless quantity[9].

$$C_L = \frac{L}{\frac{1}{2} \rho V^2 A}$$

Where, $L$ represents the force of the lift, $A$ represents aerofoil area, $V$ represents air velocity, and $\rho$ represents the density of air.

In fluid mechanics, resistance to an object placed in that fluid can be calculated using the coefficient of drag ($C_D$)[9, 10].
Where, \( D \) represents the force of drag, \( A \) represents aerofoil area, \( V \) represents air velocity, and \( \rho \) represents the density of air.

NACA aerofoils are aerofoils that have been standardized by the National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics (NACA)[11]. They use special digits to indicate their geometries [12]. The Angle of Attack (AoA) is the angle formed by a construction line, used as a reference, on aerofoil body and vector that represents the relative motion between fluid and body that moves through it[13]. In the case of an airplane, the angle is defined as the angle between the direction of movement of the wing and the chord line of the wing. Each blade has its specific value of AoA for the highest value of lift. Laminar flow is converted to turbulent flow after a particular value of AoA. Turbulence has a direct impact on the amount of lift generated and reduces the coefficient of lift[14].

In this study, SOLIDWORKS will be used to generate the geometry of NACA 0012 aerofoil and the fluid domain. Ansys Fluent will be used to study the relationship between the different angles of attacks and the coefficient of lift.

2. Materials and Methods

NACA 0012 profile was created in SOLIDWORKS. After the generation of geometry, a fluid domain of the profile was generated. The fluid domain was then imported into Ansys Fluent for CFD simulations. K-\( \omega \) turbulence model was selected to conduct this study. Different cases, with different components of inlet velocities, were generated to study the effect of changing the angle of attack.

2.1. Geometry Creation using SOLIDWORKS

The curve data points were imported into SOLIDWORKS and the top and bottom curves of the aerofoil were created. Following that, a fluid domain was formed around it. Two fluid domains were created, one near the aerofoil and one away from it. This was done to increase the mesh quality near critical regions. After successful generation of the fluid domain, the model was saved in the '.step' format for import into Ansys Fluent. Figure 2 shows the final geometry and Figure 3 show the dimensional details of the domain.
2.3 Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD)

After finalizing the geometry, the Ansys workbench was run and geometry was imported in Fluent. Using Ansys Mechanical, a mesh was generated. Several mesh refinements were applied during mesh generation including body sizing, inflation, and method. Special attention was given to mesh size near to edges of an aerofoil. Figure 4 represents the final mesh generated for this analysis. Figure 5 represents mesh near aerofoil.

Figure 2. Fluid domain generation using SOLIDWORKS

Figure 3. Dimensional details of the fluid domain
Named selection is one of the most important steps while conducting a CFD analysis because it makes it easier to set the boundary conditions. In this study, several named selections were used and details of each named selection are shown in Figure 6.
After named selection and meshing, Ansys mechanical is closed and fluent is run. As in this case, different angles of attack are to be analyzed, it is important to find the horizontal and vertical components of velocity at each angle of attack. Figure 8 indicates the input velocity of 32 m/s for 0 angles of attack. Table 1. shows the horizontal and vertical components of velocities at different angle of attacks from 0° to 20°.

**Table 1. The horizontal and vertical components of velocities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Angle of attack</th>
<th>X value</th>
<th>Y value</th>
<th>Velocity x</th>
<th>Velocity y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9998</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>31.9936</td>
<td>0.544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.9993</td>
<td>0.0348</td>
<td>31.9776</td>
<td>1.1136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>31.936</td>
<td>1.664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>31.904</td>
<td>2.208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>31.872</td>
<td>2.784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>31.808</td>
<td>3.328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.9925</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>31.76</td>
<td>3.872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.139</td>
<td>31.68</td>
<td>4.448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.987</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>31.584</td>
<td>4.992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.984</td>
<td>0.1736</td>
<td>31.488</td>
<td>5.5552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.981</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>31.392</td>
<td>6.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.978</td>
<td>0.207</td>
<td>31.296</td>
<td>6.624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.974</td>
<td>0.225</td>
<td>31.168</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>31.04</td>
<td>7.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.965</td>
<td>0.258</td>
<td>30.88</td>
<td>8.256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.961</td>
<td>0.275</td>
<td>30.752</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.956</td>
<td>0.292</td>
<td>30.592</td>
<td>9.344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.951</td>
<td>0.309</td>
<td>30.432</td>
<td>9.888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.945</td>
<td>0.325</td>
<td>30.24</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.939</td>
<td>0.342</td>
<td>30.048</td>
<td>10.944</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ansys has a variety of turbulence models. While doing the literature study, it was observed that the k-ω model was widely used. Additionally, it is recommended in applications for cases with low Reynolds Numbers. The aerodynamic performance of NACA 0012 was determined through simulations. Figure 7. indicates the reference values used in this simulation.
3. Results and Discussions

3.1 Velocity Contours

The contours of velocity magnitude produced from CFD simulations for various angles of attack are illustrated in Figures 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, and 15. Stagnation point occurs on the leading edge resulting in zero velocity.
Figure 9. Velocity contour at 0 degrees AoA

Figure 10. Velocity contour at 5 degrees AoA

Figure 11. Velocity contour at 10 degrees AoA
3.2. Pressure Contours

The contours of pressure magnitude generated from CFD simulations for various angles of attack are presented in Figures 14, 15, 16, 17, and 18. According to the application of Bernoulli's principle, when the velocity is high, pressure is low. The same applies here, in the top region, the pressure is low because of increased velocity, and as a result, high pressure on the bottom pushes the geometry upward creating the lift force.
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Figure 14. Pressure contour at 0 degrees AoA

Figure 15. Pressure contour at 5 degrees AoA

Figure 16. Pressure contour at 10 degrees AoA
3.3. Graph of Lift Coefficient

Figure 19 shows the calculated lift coefficients at an angle of attacks ranging from 0° to 20°. It can be inferred from the graph that with an increase in the angle of attack, $C_L$ increases as well. But after 14°, further increase in the angle of attack reduces the $C_L$. Due to the high value of the pressure gradient, pressure forces overcome the inertial forces of fluid, and separation of fluid takes place. This fluid separation is responsible for the decrease in the $C_L$ with further increase in AoA.

3.4. Comparison with Literature

Figure 20 shows the comparison of simulation results with the experimental results. In this figure, the results of the lift coefficient are indicated on the graph. The curve with ‘measured’ results indicates the
results obtained from the literature[15]. The experimental results validate the results obtained from the simulation in this study.

![Figure 19. Calculated lift coefficient values at different angles of attack](image1.png)

![Figure 20. Comparison of calculated lift coefficients with literature](image2.png)

4. Conclusions

In this study, NACA0012 airfoil aerodynamic performance was studied using CFD software Ansys Fluent at various angles of attack from 0 degrees to 20 degrees with a constant velocity of 32 m/s. Contours of velocities and pressures were presented to study the flow around the Aerofoil. Using Fluent, $C_L$ was
evaluated for all angles of attacks. A graph was plotted for calculated $C_L$ against AoA. The trend of the graph suggested that with an increase in the angle of attack, $C_L$ increases as well until flow separation takes place $C_L$ starts decreasing with further increase in AoA. Validation of the calculated results was done by comparing the results with the literature.
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